UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/576,585	04/20/2006	Hiroaki Masuyama	2006_0588A	7270	
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W.			EXAMINER		
			GREGG, MARY M		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTOI	N, DC 20006-1021		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3694		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/15/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	A	A   11   4/ \	-
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/576,585	MASUYAMA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	MARY GREGG	3694	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	_
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 C</u>	October 2008		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		rosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	•		
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24 and 28-54</u> is/are pending in the	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	• •		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24 and 28-54</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b)□ objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a) All b) Some * c) None of:		a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documen			
2. Certified copies of the priority documen			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	ved in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea		and a	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receiv	rea.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413)	
2) DNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail   5) Notice of Informal	Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:	ι αιστι Αρμικαιίστι	

MMG

Application/Control Number: 10/576,585 Page 2

Art Unit: 3694

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to Applicant's filing of 10/27/2008 in response to an Election/Restriction requirement mailed 10/02/2008. The Election/Restriction requirement of 10/02/2008 is hereby withdrawn. Claims 24-27 and 52-54 have been canceled. No new claims have been added. Claims 1-23 and 28-54 have been rejected.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-23, 28-51 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Taken as a whole the claims recite an undue multiplicity of claims by virtue of the unreasonable number of claims presented would tend to obfuscate, confuse, and becloud the claimed invention. Because the examiner believes that in his judgment that twenty-five (25) claims are sufficient to properly define applicants' invention, applicants are required to select certain claims, not to exceed twenty-five for examination on the merits, See M.P.E.P. 2173.05(n). To be complete the non-selected claims must be cancelled or the applicant(s) must present appropriate arguments as to why the above rejection is in error. Note most patents (80%) have less than twenty claims while patents in excess of 100 claims are less than 0.344% of all cases filed and thus rare (See Federal Register: October 5, 1998 (Volume 63, Number 192, Page 53507). Note also

Art Unit: 3694

MPEP 607 [R-5] III, wherein 37 CFR 1.16 (h) sets forth the excess claims fee for each **independent claim in excess of three**. 37 CFR 1.16 (i) set forth the excess claims fee for each claim in excess of twenty.

5. It is further noted that it would appear that a multiplicity of inventions also appear to be involved and the applicants are requested to group their selection accordingly to read on a single invention. The applicant should group the claims according to what he believes to be distinct inventions which may be restricted in a subsequent action.

Applicant is afforded a written response due to the complexity of the case and to afford applicant sufficient time to make a judicious selection of the claims to prosecute.

## Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARY GREGG whose telephone number is (571)270-5050. The examiner can normally be reached on 4/10.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 5712726712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/576,585 Page 4

Art Unit: 3694

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. G./ Examiner, Art Unit 3694

/Mary Cheung/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3694